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## Introduction

- One of epidemiological studies' goal is to determine and estimate effects
- Difficult to measure an effect directly
- Possible to measure an association
- Substitution of the association for the effect has both advantage and disadvantage


## Quantitative Measures used in Epidemiology

- Measures of disease frequency: Reflect the relative occurrence of the disease in a population.
- Measures of association: Reflect the strength or magnitude of the statistical relationship between exposure status and disease occurrence.
- Measures of effect: Certain measures of association involving disease incidence are also measures of the exposure effect.


## Measures of Association

- can be based on
- absolute difference
- relative difference
- Interpretation depends on study designs
- Names are different regard to different textbooks
- Concept is similar



## Incidence Measures: Risk and Rate

- Risk (cumulative incidence):

Probability of an individual at risk developing the disease during a given period

- Incidence rate (incidence density):

Occurrence of new cases at a point in time $t$, per unit of time, relative to the size of the population at risk at time $t$

## Risk Estimation

- Risk ( R ) is defined as:

The probability of an individual at risk developing the disease during a given period.

- Risk is calculated by:

Number of incident cases of disease occurring in a specified period
$\mathbf{R}=$
Number of people at risk at the start of the specified period

## Example: Risk estimation

Individuals


7 -year risk of disease $=2$ / $7=0.28=28 \%$

## Incidence Rate Estimation

= The occurrence of new cases at a point in time $t$, per unit of time, relative to the size of the population at risk at time $t$ (i.e., the occurrence of an event in a population over time)

Number of incident cases of disease occurring in a specified period
I =
Amount of person-time experienced by population at risk in the same period

## Example: Risk estimation

Individuals


## Effect



Exposure
Disease
Outcome
Determinant

- Relative risk/ Risk ratio:
- Probability of an event in exposed persons compare to the probability of an event in unexposed persons
- Risk ratio (RR) and risk difference (RD) are effect measures.
- Assumption: The risk of disease in the unexposed population is equal to what the risk would have been in the exposed population had everyone been unexposed.


## Measures of Association

- Reflect the magnitude of statistical relationship between two variables
- All or part of this relationship may correspond to
(1) effect of the exposure on disease occurrence,
(2) effect of disease on exposure changes, or
(3) non-causal aspects of the association
- Ratio measures--e.g., RR, IR, OR
- Difference measures--e.g., RD, ID, AR
- Model coefficients*
- Correlation coefficients*

Not all measures of association are measures of effect
This lecture focuses on ratio measures

## Measures of Association: Ratio

- RR: ratio of two probabilities (exposed group VS unexposed group)
- RR: an effect measure of primary interest in epidemiology
- Null value of "one": corresponds to no association between exposure status and disease
- Value of a ratio: vary between zero and infinity


## Interpreting Ratio

- Exact meaning of a ratio measure of association depends on the type of frequency measure.
- Ex: The association between smoking status and lung cancer, a value of 8 for:
- 5 -year risk ratio--A smoker is 8 times more Iikely to develop lung cancer in 5 years than is a non-smoker
- mortality rate ratio--The average mortality rate of lung cancer is 8 times greater in smokers than it is in non-smokers
- prevalence ratio--A smoker is 8 times more Iikely to have lung cancer is a non-smoker


## Ratio: Dose-response Relationship

- With > 2 exposure categories, doseresponse relationship can be expressed by comparing each exposure group with a single reference (unexposed) group.
- Ex: Smoking status is categorized into 3 groups, heavy, light and none

IR heavy $=12$ (heavy/none)
IR light $=5$ (light/none)
IRnone= 1 (none/none)
= a positive dose-response relationship, because the more people smoke, the higher the rate of disease.

## Measures of Association: Difference

The difference between two risks (exposed group VS unexposed group)

- Risk difference (RD) and rate difference (ID) are measures of effect.*
- Null value of all difference measures: zero
- Difference measures of effect: reflect the magnitude of a public health problem
* Not explicit but implicit comparison
$\mathbf{R D}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{R R}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)-\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{R R}-\mathbf{1})$
- Suppose the $5-\mathrm{yr}$ risk of disease X is $10 \%$ in exposed population and 8\% in unexposed population. Then,

$$
R R=1.25 \quad \text { and } \quad R D=0.02
$$

- Interpretation: an exposed person in this population is 1.25 times more likely to get the disease in 5 -yr than is unexposed person; or the difference in risk between exposed and unexposed persons is $2 \%$
- "The 5 -yr risk of disease is $25 \%$ greater in exposed persons than in unexposed persons"?


## Example: Ratio and Difference Measures

Numbers of new cases of lung cancer and CHD in the U.S. by smoking status

|  |  | Lung Cancer |  | CHD |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smoking Status | No. People | Cases $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{1 0} / \mathbf{5 r})$ | Cases | I (/105/yr) |  |
| Smokers | $70,000,000$ | 60,000 | 85.7 | 250,000 | 357.1 |
| Nonsmokers | $150,000,000$ | 10,000 | 6.7 | 250,000 | 166.7 |
| Rate ratio |  | 12.9 |  |  | 2.14 |
| Rate difference |  | $79 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |  | $190 \times 10^{-5} / \mathrm{yr}$ |

Lung cancer: incidence rate ratio is greater CHD: incidence rate difference is grater Reflect: CHD is much more common in the U.S. population


## I Cohort Study

## 1. Relative Risk (RR)

- RR refers to rate ratio or risk ratio*
- RR (incidence) of developing a disease in exposed individuals to that in unexposed
e.g. Risk of lung cancer among smoker

Risk of lung cancer among nonsmoker

> *rate ratio ~= risk ratio, when exposure negligibly affects the person-time at risk

## RR(cont.)



## RR(cont.)

Disease
Yes No

| Exposure | Yes | a | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | C | d |

$R R=\frac{a /(a+b)}{c /(c+d)}$

## 2. Odds ratio

- Odds = event/nonevent
- OR = ratio of the odds of developing a disease
(Probability) OR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{q_{+} /\left(1-q_{+}\right)}{q_{-} /\left(1-q_{-}\right)} \\
& =\frac{a / b=a d / b c}{c / d}
\end{aligned}
$$

q+ incidence (probability) in exposed
q- incidence (probability) in unexposed

## Example: Rare disease

- When the probability (risk) of developing disease is low for both the exposed and the unexposed groups, the probability odds of developing the disease $\sim=$ the probabilities (Table 3-3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R R & =0.0180 / 0.0030 \\
& =6.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability OR=0.01833/ 0.00301

$$
=6.09
$$



## Example: Common disease

- When the probability (risk) of developing disease is high, the probability odds of developing the disease is biased estimation of the probabilities (Table 3-4) $R R=0.2529 / 0.0705$
$=\quad 3.59$
Probability OR=0.3385/ 0.0759

$$
=4.46
$$

- OR often used as an approximation of RR
- OR tends to exaggerate the magnitude of the association
- This built-in bias is small when the disease is relatively rare
- OR is directly derived from logistic regression models


## - Built-in bias

$$
\begin{aligned}
O R & =\frac{q_{+} /\left(1-q_{+}\right)}{q_{-} /\left(1-q_{-}\right)} \\
& \left.=\frac{q_{+}}{q_{-}} \times 1 \frac{1-q_{-}}{1-q_{+}}\right] \\
& =R R \times \text { built-in bias }
\end{aligned}
$$

q+ incidence (probability) in exposed q- incidence (probability) in unexposed

## Example: Built-in bias

- Rare disease: (Table 3-3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
- \text { OR } & =\frac{6.0 \times(1-0.0030)}{1-0.0180} \\
& =6.0 \times 1.015=6.09
\end{aligned}
$$

- Common disease: (Table 3-4)
- OR $=\frac{3.59 \times(1-0.0705)}{1-0.2529}$

$$
=3.59 \times 1.244=4.46
$$



## 1. Point Prevalence Rate Ratio

- Point Prevalence:
-Frequency of disease or condition at a point in time
- Depends on disease's duration
- Used as a proxy of risk
- Formula of point prevalence odds
$\left[\frac{\text { Point Prev }}{1-\text { Point Prev }}\right]=$ incidence x duration
- Formula of point prevalence

Point Prev = inc x dur x (1- Point Prev)

- If the point prev is low (e.g. 0.05) Point Prev ~ = inc x dur
- PRR
$=\frac{\text { Prev }_{+}}{\text {Prev. }_{-}}=\frac{\text { inc } \times \text { dur }_{+} \times\left(1-\text { Prev }_{+}\right)}{\text {inc } \times \text { dur. }_{-} \times\left(1-\text { Prev }_{-}\right)}$

$$
=\mathbf{R R} \mathbf{x}\left[\frac{\mathrm{dur}_{+}}{\mathrm{dur}_{-}}\right] \times\left[\frac{1-\text { Prev }_{+}}{1-\text { Prev. }_{-}}\right]
$$

- PRR differs from RR due to 2 bias factors

III Case-control Study


## 1. Odds Ratio

- OR of exposure $\left(\mathrm{OR}_{\text {exp }}\right)$ is mathematically identical to OR of disease $\left(O R_{\text {dis }}\right)$
$O R_{\text {exp }}=\frac{a / c=}{b / d} a d / b c=O R_{\text {dis }}$
The reason why the interpretation of the OR in this study design is prospective.


## Characteristic of OR

## OR is used to estimate RR when: <br> - the disease is rare*, or <br> - case-cohort design, or <br> -nested case-control design

* When cases studied are representative (regard to exposure history), of all persons with the disease in the pop from which the cases were drawn
* When the control studied are representative (regard to exposure history), of all persons without the disease in the pop from which the cases were drawn


## 2. OR for matched paired



Pair OR $=\frac{b}{c}$ use Mantel-Haenszel weighing

## Important issues

Is there an association between exposure and outcome?
= epidemiologic studies
How can an excess risk be expressed quantitatively?
= type of risk measurement
Is the observed association reflect a causal relationship?
= type of causal relationship; bias; confounding; interaction

## Example articles and more info

Download from:

www.oknation.net/blog/lakthai

## Thank You



